

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/552,579	Applicant(s) MORIMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner Theresa Trieu	Art Unit 3748	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Theresa Trieu.

(3) _____

 (2) James E. Armstrong, IV (Reg. No: 42,266).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 February 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

claims 9-18 (see Examiner's Amendment)

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The specification (page 18, line 1 and 2) should be changed in order to correct a typographical error and the title should be amended to be clearly indicative of the invention
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)